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Abstract
One of the most pressing problems in network research is the lack of long-term trace data from ISPs. The Internet carries an enormous volume and variety of data; mining this data can provide valuable insight into the design and development of new protocols and applications. Although capture cards for high-speed links exist today, actually making the network traffic available for analysis involves more than just getting the packets off the wire, but also handling large and variable traffic loads, sanitizing and anonymizing the data, and coordinating access by multiple users. In this paper we discuss the requirements, challenges, and design of an effective traffic monitoring infrastructure for network research. We describe our experience in deploying and maintaining a multi-user system for continuous trace collection at a large regional ISP. We evaluate the performance of our system and show that it can support sustained collection and processing rates of over 160–300Mbits/s.

1 Introduction
As the Internet continues to grow rapidly in size and complexity, it has become increasingly clear that its evolution is closely tied to detailed understanding of its traffic. The enormous volume and mixture of data carried over the Internet provides a rich complexity. This richness has made it difficult to characterize, understand, or model Internet traffic from small-scale laboratory or testbed experiments, and challenging to design simulation models.

Traffic monitoring and measurements can provide an invaluable insight into the dynamics of network traffic, traffic engineering and capacity planning, congestion and fault diagnosis, and security analysis. However, monitoring traffic on the Internet is a challenging task for a wide range of reasons. Many network events that are of interest to the researcher are unpredictable and ephemeral: if tracing is not enabled at the time they occurred, the opportunity of capturing it is lost. Even when captured, following causality of such an event may be impossible unless enough history, that is network dynamics prior to the event, is captured as well. Privacy and confidentiality concerns limit the willingness of many stakeholders to participate in data collection and constrain the release of data to a wider research community. At times the data is subjected to extensive anonymization rendering it unusable to a wide variety of network studies. Further, since the Internet is organized as a set of interconnected networks, measurements taken at any local network may not be representative of the whole Internet.

The resulting paucity of sound and representative data has severely limited network research. Currently network dynamics studies that evaluate the effects of new application and protocol deployment, or long-term studies that observe the effect of incremental changes on the Internet, and the change in the overall stability of the Internet under various security threats are not possible. For example, in the past few years, the research community has explored many defense tools and methodologies to make the infrastructure more robust [8]. But lacking real-world data, testing the efficacy of the tools and evaluating their readiness for widespread deployment has been a continuing challenge.

To analyze a large range of network behaviors, one would need to collect network traffic in an ongoing basis rather than as a one-time event to capture transient behaviors that provide insight into network problems. Long term storage and mining of such measurement data will provide valuable step forward toward improving the understanding of actual network dynamics.

In this paper, we describe a passive and continuous monitoring infrastructure to collect, archive, and analyze network traffic deployed at Los Nettos, a large regional ISP in the Los Angeles area. Passive indicates the non-intrusive nature of the operational monitoring system that does not interfere with the network traffic. Continuous refers to the ability of the collection system to capture network traces uninterrupted for analysis and storage. Further, network traffic is stored and analyzed at multiple different levels of anonymization to accommodate user research requirements and privacy restrictions of network data. Our system can provide network traces for a large range of research projects that utilize long term data for the analysis and modeling of Internet traffic. In Section 3 we explore the requirements and challenges of building such a monitoring system and in Section 4 we discuss our design choices in order to address these requirements.

The contribution of this paper is the design, development, and deployment of a monitoring and analysis infrastructure for data mining that supports long term network traffic storage. We describe our experience in deploying and maintaining a system for continuous trace collection at Los Nettos, a
large regional ISP. We evaluate the performance of our system in Section 6 and show that it can support sustained collection and processing rates of over 160Mbits/s with peak rates of 300Mbits/s, while accommodating the requirements of different users. Additionally, we demonstrate how our analysis system supports multiple users and permits the extraction of various types of analysis data from the network traffic.

2 Related Work

Popular passive measurement systems include Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)-based network traffic measurement tools, tcpdump and NetFlow. SNMP is the most widely used network management protocol in today’s Internet [1]. Agents and remote monitors update a management information base (MIB) within network routers, and management stations retrieve MIB information from the routers using UDP. While, SNMP provides some aggregate statistics, it does not permit detailed network dynamics studies which is the aim of the system proposed in this paper. Another common network monitoring tool is tcpdump [6], a network sniffer with built-in filtering capabilities. However, in high–volume traffic monitoring environments such as in ours, tcpdump performs poorly due to inaccurate timestamps and packet drops during large bursts. NetFlow is a monitoring system available on Cisco routers. NetFlow collects flow statistics on a network interface [10] and provides additional details about flows than SNMP. NetFlow requires an external recorder of captured data, it incurs a significant performance hit on routers (and thus is typically used on high-end routers) and in order to keep up with line rate it must switch to sampling.

Few other efforts have been made in capturing network behavior and standardization of metrics. The AT&T trace collection project relies on packet-level information collected by packet sniffer called PacketScopes, flow statistics collected using Cisco’s NetFlow tools, and routing information for network-wide traffic analysis [2]. Similarly, the IPMON network designed by Sprint uses a large number of of trace collection points emulate a fault and capture the effect of the network [4]. In both these projects, the network traffic data is for the exclusive use of the parent company and the data is not available to the community at large for research. The monitoring system described in this paper is unique in that it facilitates continuous, passive trace collection and the data is available to the research community.

3 Challenges

The design of an infrastructure to monitor and analyze Internet traffic and make the data publicly available to research organizations presents both policy and engineering challenges. In this section we outline challenges and requirements for such a system and in the next section we discuss how effective design choices in the architecture of the system can resolve most of these challenges.

3.1 Policy Challenges

There are a number of policy challenges which stem from the requirements of developing a multiple users system with support for long-term network studies that may require neighbor identification information. In this section, we discuss each of these requirements and the challenges associated in incorporating them into a monitoring system. Finally, we discuss the strategy of associating meta-data with network measurements.

Multiple Users: In order to support many different research projects, the system must seamlessly support multiple users, which presents several challenges. First, since users typically have different data requirements, each user will require custom processing of the data to extract information relevant to their research. For example, a user interested in studying the long-term flow behavior of the network will need more complex processing to correlate network traces while a user interested in DNS traffic can use simple packet filters to extract DNS packets. Additionally, some types of network studies are more sensitive to packet sanitization and anonymization than others. For example, techniques that retain only TCP/IP headers, renumber IP addresses and scrub packet payloads are especially crippling for network intrusion detection research and worm epidemiology studies, which require network prefix information to analyze infection patterns. Thus, the monitoring system needs to support a range of custom anonymization levels such that important traffic characteristics are not abstracted away.

Given different privacy restrictions and project requirements, each user will have different access privileges, mapped to data with different anonymization levels. Some research projects such as network security may still require access to raw, un-anonymized traffic, while other projects can tolerate anonymization of most network traffic. Pang and Paxson describe techniques to anonymize and transform network traffic in order preserve privacy while retaining important traffic characteristics [9].

Finally, the system should have all the necessary access control and job isolation mechanisms to ensure data security. Since network data will be available at various levels of anonymization, these mechanisms will prevent unauthorized access to network data.

Long-term Studies: Some users will want to study long-term characteristics of the network— inconsistent anonymization will prevent such a study. The monitoring system needs to provide selective support for consistent IP address scrambling over extended periods of time. This is especially useful for projects such as traffic engineering, long-term network forecasting, and traffic evolution analysis. The utility of renumbering consistency must be balanced against the privacy concerns in inverting mappings.

Neighbor Identification: Neighbor Identification information provides the last hop information of the network traffic at the monitoring location. For example, at the Internet backbone it would provide last hop peering information indicating which peer originated the traffic. At an ISP, it would provide directionality information that would identify network traffic originating and terminating at the ISP clients. Retaining neighbor identification information is an additional requirement of the system and is especially important in the presence of completely anonymized traffic. It provides important clues for traffic characterization projects.
**Meta-data:** In order to preserve information of the network traffic during analysis, we need to associate meta-data with each network traffic measurement. The meta-data can provide basic information regarding the measurement, such as record time, location of measurement, anonymization level, neighbor identity, duration, and packet loss information. In the long run, we anticipate annotating the traces with other, user-generated meta-data, perhaps describing strange network occurrences, security events, or other traffic features of note.

### 3.2 Engineering Challenges

Conducting an Internet measurement study is a difficult task since a few minutes of network traffic can contain more than a million datapoints. This is even more challenging when designing an online, continuous monitoring system. The challenges of such a system are primarily high volume I/O, online computation, bulk storage, reliability and fault tolerance. In this section, we identify and elaborate on these engineering requirements and challenges.

**High Volume I/O:** A major problem when monitoring high-bandwidth links is disk I/O. Links of 1Gbits/s capacity are fairly common today between large ISPs, with 10Gbits/s links rapidly gaining ground. Under normal operational conditions, links are utilized at no more than 60% on average. In our network we have observed up to 600Mbits/s or 200Kpps bidirectional traffic on each link. For both performance and privacy concerns we capture only the first 64 bytes of data of each packet. While on average, the captured data stream is about 100Mbits/s, during a large DDoS attack with small packets it is possible that our system will be called to capture at the entire link capacity! Moreover, this estimate is for just one monitoring point. Most networks have multiple connections; in our network, for example, we have four such links we need to monitor. The resulting high disk I/O due to the potentially large volume of network traffic will put a significant strain on the design of the monitoring infrastructure. These network I/O rates are similar to current disk specifications, thus any protocol overhead must be avoided.

**Online Computation:** In addition to the disk I/O requirement, in order to support the multi-user environment, we need to support custom anonymization and user analysis of network traffic at line rate. This is challenging because different user scripts may have different run times, both because the underlying algorithms may differ, and because users’ interests may be piqued by different kinds of data. We must therefore accommodate large and variable demands for computation.

**Bulk Storage:** At normal operational rates capturing 64B of partial packets on each 1Gbits/s link can generate up to 46GB of data every hour. In order to support this high volume of data, we need a large and fast bulk storage system to store the data at line rates from multiple links and support the data analysis requirements of multiple users. Additionally, we need to ensure the storage space is administered effectively to optimize system performance even during mundane tasks such as directory lists and search and keeping track of per-user disk usage.

**Reliability and Fault Tolerance:** The system needs to be reliable, robust against failures, and allow remote administration to reduce physical manual intervention. Further, to ensure data reliability, network traffic that is identified useful for a project needs to be backed-up regularly.

### 4 Architecture

Our goal is to continuously collect, analyze, and archive real network data for data mining applications. Three components work together to meet this goal: A set of **data collection systems** (Section 4.1) that capture network packet traces, which are transferred to a **data repository** (Section 4.2) that stores the traces, and an **processing cluster** (Section 4.3) that supports pre-process and per-user processing of the traces.

Figure 1 briefly outlines the interaction of the collection, storage, and analysis phases of the monitoring system. In this section we describe the physical configuration of the various architectural components of our monitoring system and how they assist in meeting the requirements and resolving these challenges. We conclude with the anonymization challenges presented due to different user requirements.

#### 4.1 Data Collection

The trace collection systems are responsible for collecting packet traces from the network. In this section we discuss the physical configuration of our system and how it helps meet our high traffic volume requirements. Although some of these techniques have been used by previous trace collection systems [4], we discuss the unique aspects of the system and propose strategies to handle RAID fail-over conditions.

Due to the high traffic volume, we need a dedicated high-performance system in order to minimize packet loss. Our monitoring system employs a Red Hat Enterprise Linux system, dual 2.0GHz Xeon processors, with 4GB RAM, 240GB local disk and specialized DAG 4.3GE cards to capture network traffic. Optical splitters are deployed on each monitored link to mirror the traffic to the DAG card. The DAG card generates a GPS synchronized timestamp for each packet when the beginning of the packet is detected on the link.

The most pressing engineering challenge in this system is the requirement to handle large volumes of data I/O and processing continuously. To reduce the volume, we configure the DAG card to extract the first 64 bytes of each packet and
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**Figure 1. Interaction between various components of the monitoring system**
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record it into memory. Additionally, we segment traces into pieces for processing. Two approaches to segmenting are fixed-time or fixed-space, where we break traces into some time period (say, 5 minutes) or some size (say, 512MB). We adopt the fixed-space approach, segmenting data into 512MB files. Since traffic rates vary throughout the day, this approach provides predictable per-segment I/O and processing requirements. Our data processing approach (described in Section 4.3) easily accommodates the varying segment generation rate.

The DAG card DMA writes captured packet headers into a circular buffer located in shared memory. In order to avoid packet loss the captured trace needs to be moved out of the buffer before it fills. Our DAG talker process is responsible for this task. It moves the data out of the buffer and saves it to disk. If the 512MB file size limit is reached, the current trace file is closed and a new one is started. Although, it is possible to transfer the packet headers directly from the card to the data storage, bypassing main memory, our approach helps buffer traffic bursts and reduces packet losses during data transfer.

The data storage location is a fast RAID server accessible via NFS. The RAID may become unavailable to the collection system due to network failures, NFS server failure, or disk failures. In order to support continuous collection, we provide fail-over to a local disk when the RAID server is unavailable. We developed a DAG mover program that periodically monitors the availability of the RAID server and triggers data storage on the local disk if the RAID is unreachable.

4.2 Data Repository

The data repository is a DataDirect high-performance RAID networked storage system that provides 1.5Gbytes/s throughput through fiber channel. Currently, our system accesses storage through NFS over an Ethernet gigabit link. The NFS server adds considerable overhead to our system. After performing extensive client and server side tuning by varying blocksize, timeout, and mounting parameters we were able to improve the throughput from 6Mbits/s to 300Mbits/s. In the near future we will connect the data repository directly to the collection and analysis system using fiber channel. Once the whole system is connected using fiber channel we anticipate throughput in excess of 1Gbits/s.

Our system has 11TB of shared disk space. Since each monitoring location generates over 1 TB of data every day, we need mechanisms that ensure proper use of disk space. We have implemented a garbage collection system that exploits old trace files that have been identified as unimportant by all users. The garbage collector cleans up files periodically several times a day or when disk space utilization exceeds 70%. We also have a quota checker system that regularly audits usage of the shared disk space among the users. Finally, traces that have been identified as important by the users are backed up periodically onto tape.

In order to preserve information of the network traffic we need to associate meta-data with each network traffic measurement. There are several options in associating meta-data with a trace. One technique would be to store meta-data in a separate file, which is often convenient since it facilitates subsequent processing. However, it requires additional separate storage and is prone to loss or disassociation with the primary trace file. Another technique is to encode metadada information within the trace filename and in the same file. While this technique is more space efficient, it binds the meta-data to the trace and as a result the meta-data is lost when a trace is deleted, making it impossible to revisit the meta-data at a later time.

Various types of meta-data can be recorded with a traces. Some may provide basic information regarding the measurement, such as record time, location of measurement, or duration, while others can be more detailed and could be obtained after post-processing, such as packet loss, number of flows, and protocol mix. Currently, we store meta-data only for files identified as important and encode it within the file to reduce storage space. In the future, we plan to explore archiving meta-data for all network traffic in separate logs.

4.3 Processing Cluster

All data analysis is performed at the USC Center for High Performance Computing and Communications (HPCC) [11]. We have reserved a 16-node partition for exclusive use for our system in order to guarantee service. All analysis processes share HPCC’s common Portable Batch System (PBS) queue [5] and our cluster consists of Linux nodes with dual 3GHz Xeon processors and 1GB of memory.

The monitoring system needs to process a large amount of data online and is limited by disk I/O. As discussed in Section 3.2, we maintain fixed-space 512MB trace files in order to better manage the I/O bandwidth and processing requirements.

There are two categories of analysis performed on the network traffic:

- Each trace is pre-processed to address privacy and security concerns. Pre-processing of the traces consists of scrubbing and scrambling of the packet headers. Additional details on anonymization techniques are discussed in Section 5.
- A trace can then be analyzed by multiple users by a per-user process. The user process is defined independently by each user for each trace and

All the processing on the cluster is done with dispatcher-worker applications in order to keep up with the online computational requirements of our system, similar to other systems [3]. The dispatcher application periodically monitors the workload and active processing capability by queuing worker processes through the HPCC batch processing system. When work is available it starts additional processing jobs, up to a configurable maximum level of parallelism.

Workers run independently, processing available trace files and terminating when all files are processed or a time limit is reached. Traces are kept in different directories corresponding to their processing status (scrubbed, scrambled, user analyzed).

The pre-processing and per-user processing systems can have multiple worker processes analyzing the files at any time, limited only by the number of processors available in the cluster. Once a trace is pre-processed, the system creates hard-links in the user space based on the user’s data
security clearance (explained in Section 5). We found that the loose coupling between pre-process and per-user process phases using dispatcher-worker applications helps deal with the high volume traffic efficiently and isolates failures, thus enhancing the reliability and fault tolerance of the analysis system. We also have an extensive monitoring system that gathers statistics for deployed worker processes and unprocessed files and alerts the operator in case of potential problems.

Our system must be robust to processing errors for several reasons. First, if a trace is not completely scrubbed or scrambled, it would leak sensitive privacy information. Second, because we allow user jobs to post-process traces we cannot assume those jobs are error-free. We therefore consider all processing suspect and examine a number of possible failure scenarios: (1) the job is not run, (2) the job runs and stops in the middle with part of the trace processed, (3) the job runs multiple times sequentially, (4) the job runs multiple times concurrently. To handle a wide range of errors, we have designed our jobs to be idempotent, that is, they can run multiple times without any ill-effect. Therefore if the job monitoring system detects conditions (1) and (2) it restarts the job on the trace. In case of repeated failure in processing the trace, the trace is marked and administrator is alerted of the error. Failure condition (3) is less critical as it results in wasted computational power but the trace is processed correctly. In this case we alert the administrator in case of processing the same trace more than twice. Failure condition (4) is the most critical as the trace may be overwritten and not processed correctly. We have taken all measures to ensure that this condition does not occur in our system by moving files to an working directory by the worker process. If such as error does occur, the job monitoring system is designed to alert the administrator at once.

5 Custom Anonymization

Privacy and security issues are compounded in a multi-user environment. Sharing of raw Internet packet traces must be restricted, since traces contain sensitive user data and control information. Clearly user data must treated carefully since it may contain e-mail, or passwords, credit card, or other private information. Control information is a concern since when combined with external information such as DHCP logs and ISP subscriber databases, IP addresses can be mapped back to individuals’ identities. A range of privacy laws govern network trace data, ranging from wiretap laws to student confidentiality requirements. As a result, ISPs must be very careful with how trace data is managed, both from an ethical and a legal standpoint.

Unfortunately, current trace anonymization techniques that eliminate all private information severely limit research. Due to the privacy issues with raw traces we propose a multi-level data security clearance scheme that allows the user to access real-world traces based on research needs. We store real-world network traces at different levels of anonymization and control access to the traces based on the users’ data security clearance. Each trace is subjected to a scrub phase, that removes the packet payload information to ensure that user data is not directly leaked. A trace is then passed through a scramble phase, that remaps IP addresses to prefix-preserving random values [7]. Additionally, we record the host IP to anonymized address mappings in order to support custom anonymization, where a user may want to know the reverse mapping for a few hosts that are under the control of the user, and therefore do not have any privacy concerns.

Each data security clearance level is associated with a set of data movement rules, that specify how the traces should be handled. The higher the clearance the more restrictive the data movement rules. For example, users with access to un-anonymized traces have to perform all analysis on our systems and cannot move the traces away. We discussed this in more detail in Sections 4.2 and 4.3.

In order to support long term studies, we maintain consistent IP address renumbering using hashing. We refer to this as persistence of the scrambled traffic. Additionally, it is also important to maintain neighbor identification or directionality information within the traces. This is done during the scramble phase where the MAC addresses in the packet are renumbered with a persistence of one month.

6 Evaluation

We deployed our collection system at two locations at a large regional ISP. In this section, we first describe our monitoring location and the type of traffic mix we observe. We then evaluate our analysis system in a multi-user environment.

6.1 Monitored Network Description

We collect network traffic through a coordinated effort between multiple sites within Los Nettos, a large regional ISP in the Los Angeles area. Los Nettos connects with several large ISPs including Verio, Cogent, Level 3, and Internet II. Los Nettos provides an interesting view of the Internet since it is close enough to the edge to observe regional and end system effects, but also large enough to capture a diverse traffic mix. Los Nettos provides access to several different classes of network traffic. It has a diverse group of academic and commercial clientele, including USC, TRW, JPL, and Aerospace Corporation.

Los Nettos has an aggregate bidirectional traffic volume over 1Gbits/s. We have strategically placed two tracing systems that allow our system to capture up to 85% of the network traffic. One collection system monitors the link with 600Mbits/s aggregate bidirectional traffic while the other system monitors a link with 250Mbits/s. After partial packet capture the links generate nearly 160Mbits/s of packet header traces.

6.2 Regular Operation

Our system handles multiple users with different data and sanitization requirements. During peak times a trace file is generated every 30 seconds and the analysis cluster pre-processes and runs per-user processing on these files continuously.

Figure 2(a) shows job duration for a five-hour period. A scrubber-dispatcher schedules scrubber jobs every fifteen minutes to pre-process the traces files, and two user-dispatchers spawn jobs to run user-defined processes. User1 handles un-anonymized data and uses anomaly detection
requirements. Since jobs are loosely scheduled by the cluster
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Figure 2. File processing on the cluster under normal and

failure operational conditions.

This example highlights our ability to handle different user
requirements. Since jobs are loosely scheduled by the cluster
system we can easily handle varying computational require-
ments of different users without carefully monitoring and
tuning our system due to changes in load or post-processing.
In addition, the parallel processing capability of the cluster
allows the trace processing to run slower than real-time with-
out building large backlogs. Currently the processes are not
optimized specifically for our architecture and expect better
performance in the future.

6.3 Failure Recovery

An important aspect of our loosely coupled architecture
is that it is robust in the face of various failures. Figure 2(b)
shows the progress of pre-processing data files before, during
and after an infrastructure failure. At approximately 18:00
(labeled as time period A) the queuing system stopped ac-
cepting jobs and the number of files in need of processing
grew. During time period B there was a complete cluster
failure; all processing jobs still running were terminated.
Just before 22:00, the cluster came back on line. Note that our
processing system responded to the backlog of work by trig-
gerating the maximum level of parallelism (8 concurrent jobs)
until the backlog was cleared in period C. We also observe
that some intermittent failures and restarts during this time.

This example illustrates the robustness of our system to
failures. Because work and computation are loosely sched-
uled, loss of compute nodes simply delays processing rather
than losing data. We believe our system can tolerate tempo-
rary loss of any part of the system other than the data collec-
tion hosts themselves.

7 Summary

In this paper we described a passive, continuous monitor-
ing system to collect, archive, and analyze network data cap-
tured a Los Nettos, a large regional ISP within Los Ange-
les. We outlined the major requirements and challenges for
the monitoring system. Our system supports multiple users,
where each user can have different data and anonymization
requirements. Further, the system is capable of han-
dling large I/O and processing requirements due to the high-
volume of network data. We described a viable architecture
and evaluated it with two-users to show that it effectively
handles variable load.
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