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Revisions since last IETF

Draft -04 : March 2001
   Re-organised -03
   Added definitions
   Added keywords to recommendations
   Summary table added

Received comments from WG

Draft -05 : July 2001
   Title change (inserted “path”)
   Fixed the nits
Suggested changes to -05

Revise PEP wording (for debate)
- “semantics” of the phrase “end to end semantics”
- suggestion: refer to PEP [RFC3135]

Nits
Add "Bottleneck" definition
Add "Refer to congestion elsewhere than on uplink"
Change "Bandwidth" -> "available path capacity"
References to be fixed:
  "ROHC WG", "Metricom"
  SLOW discussion of compression [RFC3150]
Action / Help required

Would like to reissue and do a WG last call

Is there a current need for a BCP?

What is needed to format/structure?

*Please send your comments to authors and pilc list!*