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Abstract—Detailed diagnostic data is a prerequisite for debugging problems and understanding runtime performance in distributed wireless embedded systems. Severe bandwidth limitations, tight timing constraints, and limited program text space hinder the application of standard diagnostic tools within this domain. This work introduces the Log Instrumentation Specification (LIS), which provides a high level logging interface to developers and is able to create extremely compact diagnostic logs. LIS uses a token scoping technique to aggressively compact identifiers that are packed into bit aligned log buffers.

LIS is evaluated in the context of recording call traces within a network of wireless sensor nodes. Our evaluation shows that logs generated using LIS require less than 50% of the bandwidth utilized by alternate logging mechanisms. Through microbenchmarking of a complete LIS implementation for the TinyOS operating system, we demonstrate that LIS can comfortably fit onto low-end embedded systems. By significantly reducing log bandwidth, LIS enables extraction of a more complete picture of runtime behavior from distributed wireless embedded systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Innovative research in wireless and embedded sensing systems is enabling larger and more complex wireless sensor network deployments. But these advances in communication, sensing, and processing capabilities are outpacing the development of tools required for developers to understand the faults appearing in deployments and on testbeds. Continued growth of the distributed wireless embedded systems field depends on developing monitoring techniques that provide the diagnostic data needed to understand runtime system behavior.

Gathering this diagnostic data from wireless embedded systems is very difficult. Physical access to distributed embedded systems is often not available, requiring diagnostic techniques that do not depend on physical device access. Physical coupling of sensor systems to the environment, and time sensitive interactions among members of the distributed network, greatly limits the applicability of interactive debugging solutions that suspend execution of the debugged device. This physical coupling with the environment also limits the utility of simulators, which too often fail to capture key subtleties of the physical world in the modeled sensor input streams and radio models. These limitations motivate use of logging frameworks that gather system state at runtime to help end users understand and diagnose observed behavior within a deployed system.

The most basic form of logging is what we term “printf logging”, in which a developer calls a logging routine to record diagnostic messages and key program state. These descriptive logs are displayed on a console, written to stable storage, or transferred out of the network. Even when the printf function is not used, this style of logging appears time and time again.

A problem long recognized in the wireless embedded systems community is the tension between collecting rich logs and the limited system resources for storage or log transfer. Early sensor network research [1] observed this tension and took an important first step in minimizing log bandwidth by encoding each verbose ASCII string using a shorter unique identifier. When examining such a log outside of the network, a dictionary replaces identifiers with the corresponding original log messages.

An obvious next step, embraced and evaluated in this research, is using bit alignment in logs rather than the more common byte alignment. This shift allows us to reap the full benefits of coding techniques that assign highly optimized sub-byte and variable width tokens.

Receiving the full benefits of bit aligned logging requires better logging interfaces that create opportunities for using extremely small token identifiers. This paper introduces the Log Instrumentation Specification (LIS). LIS is a language used to write simple high level scripts that describe logging tasks. Tokens logged by LIS belong to various scopes. These scopes partition the logged set of tokens into small name spaces, facilitating extremely compact encoding of the tokens.

Our research strives to significantly reduce the bandwidth required to collect logs from wireless embedded systems. To this end we:

- Model the potential gains of bit aligned logging over byte aligned logging to highlight the need for extremely small identifiers;
- Demonstrate the improvement in log bandwidth utilization provided by bit aligning identifiers created using tokens from scoped name spaces;
- Introduce LIS, an implementation of these ideas that fits comfortably on distributed wireless and embedded systems.

II. LOG INSTRUMENTATION SPECIFICATIONS

Log instrumentation specifications provide the infrastructure required for developers to easily and concisely expose runtime system state. Figure 1 illustrates the complete LIS work flow. An end user begins with a high level LIS script, such as that at the top of Figure 2, describing a logging task. In the
allows higher level analyses performing complex or optimized logging tasks to use LIS as an intermediate language.

Table 1 shows the complete LIS grammar. A LIS script is made up of one or more statements describing the information to be logged within a system. Each LIS statement includes three common fields describing the instrumentation type that the statement is a member of, a scoping declaration from which the logged token identifier(s) resulting from the statement should be drawn, and a placement specifier stating the name of a function within which to apply the statement. Some instrumentation types include additional type-specific fields. For example, the control flow instrumentation type allows specification of the type of control flow statements to consider and a variable name that must be present in the guard for the LIS statement to be applied.

LIS provides six instrumentation types to direct logging within a function:

- **Header statements** log a token upon entry to a function;
- **Footer statements** log a token when a function returns;
- **Call statements** log a token immediately before calling a specified target function;
- **Control flow statements** record the branch taken at particular control flow points within a function;
- **Watch statements** record updates to a specific variable;
- **Label statements** provide for logging of arbitrary data at any point within a function.

At the heart of LIS are the three scoping declarations that direct the assignment of the token identifier(s) logged by the system as a result of a given LIS statement. Local scoping creates multiple small token name spaces that facilitate small bitwidth identifiers. Local tokens are assigned identifiers unique within the host function, although the identifier used for a locally scoped token may also appear in the local name spaces of other functions. Global tokens are each assigned
a value unique among all identifiers. A small set of global

tokens are required to provide the log parser with contextual

information to correctly parse otherwise ambiguous local identifiers. The point token is a single small unique identifier
can be used by multiple different LIS statements when

explicit differentiation between the statements is not needed.

The fine resolution scoping provided by LIS contrasts tra-
ditional logging techniques that implicitly use a single global

name space to derive token identifiers. A single global name

space results in larger token identifiers that, as described in

Section III, fail to enjoy the benefits of bit aligned logging.
The use of a single global name space is understandable

when considering the complexity of manually managing global

and local token identifier assignments throughout a program

without the automation provided by LIS.

III. Evaluation

We evaluated LIS by integrating it into TinyOS, a commonly

used operating system for sensor networks that uses the nesC

programming language [2] to compose embedded software. LIS

acts directly on C source code, so we added instrument-
amation via LIS as an optional compilation stage within the

TinyOS build system. This support is placed after nesC
generates a C representation of the application and before

the architecture specific C compiler builds the application.

This new stage uses a LIS script specified by an environment

variable to direct instrumentation of the application. We imple-
mented a generic logging library called bitlog to manage bit

aligned logs, and a log manager for TinyOS called LogTap
to handle logs after they have been flushed by the bitlog
library. Our implementation of LogTap routes logs to a sink

within the network using the collection tree protocol [3] (CTP)
or sends them directly over a serial link.

The LIS instrumentation engine is implemented using the C

Intermediary Language [4]. We have used LIS to instrumen-

t C for both embedded wireless sensor networks and general

systems applications. The instrumentation engine is quite

small, since the primitives used by LIS are very basic and

require minimal program analysis.

Many different techniques could be used to encode tokens

within a name space. The evaluated version of LIS uses a

simple fixed bitwidth coding scheme to assign each token

da name space a unique identifier. Name spaces containing
different numbers of tokens can result in identifiers of dif-

fering lengths. An additional one or two bits (depending on

scope type) are prepended to LIS generated tokens to enforce

separation of the global, local, and point scope classes.

LIS deployments are free to use alternate coding tech-

ciques that can provide additional savings in log bandwidth. For

example, we have had great success using Huffman coding to

assign token identifiers within a name space when knowledge

of the approximate runtime token frequencies is available. The

key property of the coding scheme used in this evaluation,

and true for many coding schemes, is that smaller token name

spaces result in the use of identifiers that (on average) contain

fewer bits.

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{Average identifier length required for token sets of various sizes. Bit aligned identifiers using scoped token name spaces stabilize to an average length around 4 bits. This tends to out perform the logarithmic growth resulting from bit aligned identifiers assigned to the same set of tokens in a single global name space.}
\end{figure}

A. Theoretical Savings from Bit Aligned Logging

The goal of this research is to reduce the bandwidth required
to accomplish requested logging tasks. We begin by examining

the potential savings that bit aligned logging can provide over
a byte aligned system. Let \( \text{bit\_size}(i) \) describe the number

of bits needed to encode an identifier \( i \) and let \( \text{byte\_size}(i) \) be the

number of bits required to encode a byte aligned version of \( i \):

\[
\text{byte\_size}(i) = \left\lceil \frac{\text{bit\_size}(i)}{8} \right\rceil \times 8.
\]

Savings from logging a bit aligned identifier \( i \) is bounded by the

number of additional bits used by byte alignment:

\[
\text{savings}(i) = \text{byte\_size}(i) - \text{bit\_size}(i) \leq 7,
\]

and the savings relative to the byte aligned size approaches
zero as the bit size of \( i \) increases:

\[
\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\text{savings}(i)}{\text{byte\_size}(i)} = 0.
\]

Consequently, the majority of tokens must be encoded with

extremely short identifiers to realize significant benefits from

bit aligned logging.

B. Observed Bandwidth Reductions Provided by LIS

The feasibility of using short identifiers in real systems is eval-
uated by calculating the average token size used to
capture call traces through one or more subsystems within

TinyOS. We performed this evaluation using both byte aligned

dentifiers and bit aligned identifiers from a single name

space, and bit aligned identifiers from multiple scoped name

spaces. Call traces are captured by logging identifiers to record
calls into functions of interest and by logging the subsequent
returns from functions of interest. The single name space

approach assigns each called function a unique identifier. Local

scoping primarily uses identifiers unique to each local caller,
as proposed in [5]. Figure 3 shows the average identifier length

assigned to tokens used to capture traces from each of 8191

combinations of 13 subsystems within TinyOS for each of
the three token assignment techniques. Scoping significantly reduces the average identifier size needed for logging.

The data presented in Figure 3 only takes into account the average token size. Since token size varies for bit aligned identifiers with scoping and different tokens appear with different frequencies at runtime, the observed average size of tokens in the runtime log may not match that derived above. We continue our analysis by gathering runtime logs from 17 logging cases: each of 13 TinyOS subsystem in isolation and 4 large combinations of subsystems. For each gathered log we calculate the observed average token width appearing in the runtime logs for bit aligned identifiers with scoping. Table II lists this observed average token size, along with the byte aligned and bit aligned sizes that can be calculated precisely. Observed performance of logs gathered using LIS with token scoping outperforms that predicted by Figure 3. This is because the static token identifier size calculation ignores the high frequency at which some short tokens occur.

This evaluation shows that the combination of bit aligned logging and name space scoping provided by LIS creates significantly more compact runtime logs than either byte aligned or bit aligned logging without token scoping.

C. Static and Runtime Overheads from Bit Aligned Logging

Using bit aligned identifiers from multiple scoped name spaces provides attractive reductions in log bandwidth, but use of such a technique must respect static and runtime constraints of the system on which it is used. We evaluated bit aligned logging by measuring the overhead of our bitlog library.

The bitlog library is implemented using standard ANSI C features and is easily portable to new platforms that have a C compiler. Logging overhead comes from the static costs of introducing logging statements and the support library into a C compiler. Logging overhead comes from the static costs of introducing logging statements and the support library into a C compiler. Logging overhead comes from the static costs of introducing logging statements and the support library into a C compiler. Logging overhead comes from the static costs of introducing logging statements and the support library into a C compiler. Logging overhead comes from the static costs of introducing logging statements and the support library into a C compiler. Logging overhead comes from the static costs of introducing logging statements and the support library into a C compiler. Logging overhead comes from the static costs of introducing logging statements and the support library into a C compiler. Logging overhead comes from the static costs of introducing logging statements and the support library into a C compiler. Logging overhead comes from the static costs of introducing logging statements and the support library into a C compiler. Logging overhead comes from the static costs of introducing logging statements and the support library into a C compiler. Logging overhead comes from the static costs of introducing logging statements and the support library into a C compiler. Logging overhead comes from the static costs of introducing logging statements and the support library into a C compiler. Logging overhead comes from the static costs of introducing logging statements and the support library into a C compiler. Logging overhead comes from the static costs of introducing logging statements and the support library into a C compiler. Logging overhead comes from the static costs of introducing logging statements and the support library into a C compiler. Logging overhead comes from the static costs of introducing logging statements and the support library into a C compiler. Logging overhead comes from the static costs of introducing logging statements and the support library into a C compiler.

Table III lists the program memory and RAM overheads of the bitlog library, the LogTap TinyOS component, and the surrounding TinyOS communication and routing stack for the MicaZ sensor node using the AVR ATmega128 processor. Also listed in the table is the overhead resulting from adding a single call to the bitlog function provided by bitlog and used by LIS to log tokens.

Latency is introduced into the systems as a result of initializing the logging system with a call to bitlog_init, logging data by calling bitlog_write, and flushing the log to the LogTap component through calls to bitlog_flush. We profiled the latency introduced by these calls using the cycle accurate Avrora simulator [6]. The bitlog_flush function is automatically called in bitlog_write when the log buffer fills. To isolate the overhead of each function, we used preemptive flushes of the log buffer to prevent bitlog_write from triggering a flush during our evaluation.

Table IV lists the cycle counts required to execute each function within the bitlog library running on a lightly loaded system. The minimum number of cycles observed is the result of calling the function when no interrupts occur and a flush is not triggered. Deviations from this minimum are the result of interrupts (primarily from the timer unit) firing during function execution. Of particular interest is the expected and maximal costs of calling bitlog_write, since this is the logging call used by LIS to perform binary aligned logging.

Figure 4 compares the average cycles required for calls to bitlog_write to those observed for alternate logging strategies. We evaluated byte aligned logging by writing 8-bit or 16-bit values directly into a byte array. The printf function was evaluated by writing one or two bytes of constant string data using the TinyOS printf implementation. Bit aligned logging requires an average of 177 cycles, about 4 times the overhead of byte aligned logging and a 1.7 times that used by printf to write a byte of constant data. We feel this modest latency increase is acceptable for most embedded systems, which are bandwidth bound rather than CPU bound. An exception to this are real time systems where additional overhead from any form of logging may be unacceptable.
Worst case latency introduced into an instrumented system arises when bitlog_write triggers a call to bitlog_flush. The additional overhead from bitlog_flush is primarily caused from copying the log buffer out of the bitlog library. This overhead will be present in any logging system that maintains isolated buffers that are copied into the underlying operating system. This latency can be mitigated by preemptively flushing log buffers at non-critical points of the program. Alternatively, the bitlog library can be integrated into the underlying log management system to eliminate copying. But this integration comes at the cost of decreased portability.

A final source of runtime overhead results from the transmission (or storage) of a log after it is flushed from the bitlog library. Transmission of buffers in the TinyOS implementation is handled by posting a send request to LogTap after a log flush. The posted request is handled only after the user code triggering the flush has returned.

D. Discussion

Our evaluation shows that the benefits of bit aligned logging are most significant when the logged identifiers are quite small. The use of token scoping provided by LIS maintains small identifier sizes, even as the number of tokens handled by the entire system increases. This results in significant reductions to the bandwidth needed by a given logging task. Bit aligned logging can be implemented in a manner that honors the resource constraints of the target platform. The current implementation of LIS has been used without problems on 8-bit and 16-bit microcontrollers running TinyOS. While logging in time critical systems requires an awareness of logging overheads, we have found LIS to be a valuable asset to understanding problems both in and out of timing sensitive systems.

IV. RELATED WORKS

Extraction of diagnostic data from modern desktop and cluster systems has been studied for many years leading to a mature selection of debuggers, loggers, and log processing frameworks. Many application domains, such as kernel debugging and performance monitoring, react poorly to suspended execution resulting from interactive debuggers and turn towards logging, such as that provided by the Linux Tracing Toolkit [7] and Event Tracing for Windows [8]. The sheer volume of the resulting logs, especially in distributed systems where log features are correlated across multiple devices and multiple log types, requires a dedicated monitoring infrastructure to processes data and extract meaningful features [9]–[11]. Unfortunately, the underlying premise to “log everything all the time” overwhelms the limited resources available on embedded systems and restricts the direct applicability of desktop or cluster systems solutions within the wireless distributed embedded systems domain.

Embedded processors often lack the internal hardware support used by a debugger. Debugging in these embedded systems can be provided using in-circuit emulators (ICE) and in-circuit debuggers (ICD). ICE and ICD provide detailed insight to an individual device, but typically require costly external hardware and often require physical access to the embedded system. These requirements make ICE and ICD most effective for pre-deployment testing of individual hardware components.

Distributed embedded systems development also uses simulation to provide insight into runtime state. Simulators vary widely in their focus and may provide a convenient means to run high level application logic [12], precisely model the underlying hardware [6], or model physical phenomenon such as the radio channel [13]. Each of these points caters towards solving a specific class of problem and is important for initial system development. Unfortunately, problems not seen during simulation often appear during deployment.

Wireless embedded systems present additional design challenges due to their limited communication bandwidth (often less than 1 Mbps), extensive interaction between devices, and the close coupling between individual devices and the physical world.

One approach targeted for wireless systems is to collect logs using a secondary network of “sniffer” nodes [14]–[16]. Such an approach would work well alongside LIS and provide additional depth to the logs LIS collects.

LIS specializes in logging runtime state, a feature that other tools can reuse. Sympathy [17] is a focused tool that gathers logs containing network health metrics of deployed devices at a back end server where they are analyzed to diagnose common network faults. Dustminer [18] combines logging with an extension of the Apriori [19] data mining algorithm to help isolate logged event sequences that are likely the root cause of an observed problem. LIS could be used as a replacement logging layer by these types of tools.

The sensor network management system [1] also logs runtime state, but does so through a free form printf interface. In contrast to this type of logging framework, LIS provides a more structured logging framework that separates logging specifications from application code and that facilitates aggressive log bandwidth optimization.

Some tools [20]–[22] actively reason about runtime state while watching for correctness violations. Other frameworks allow the value of data to not only be observed, but also to be manipulated [23]–[25]. Declarative frameworks [26]–[28] provide an intriguing mix between the active fault monitoring tools and the more passive logging infrastructures. While these tools allow nearly arbitrary monitoring and interaction with a system, they are accompanied by significantly more overhead and complexity. As these tools mature and become readily available for the wireless distributed embedded domain, they will fit into an important space for full featured monitoring applications that must interact with the system.

Despite ongoing research, much of the tools discussed within this section have yet to find widespread use within the wireless sensor network community. More commonly observed is the humble and ubiquitous “LED debugging”. LED debugging provides developers with a log maintained in the head of an observer carefully counting LED blinks or frantically scratched
onto a scrap of paper. The success of LED debugging is most probably due to its ease of use. The next most popular debugging framework after blinking LEDs may be printf, which is frequently asked about on both the TinyOS and Avrora user mailing lists. The structured logging provided by LIS combines ease of use with efficient bandwidth usage to make it a great alternative to littering code with blinking LEDs and verbose calls to printf.

V. CONCLUSIONS

This research explores the significant reductions to log bandwidth achievable through the use of bit aligned identifiers formed from scoped token sets. We highlight the need for extremely small identifiers if significant gains are to be had from bit aligned identifier logging. Scoping of logged tokens into isolated name spaces facilitates the creation of extremely small token identifiers. LIS provides a clean interface for developers to use to integrate these ideas into their daily work. We hope that this work motivates further research into logging infrastructures that provide developers alternatives to ad-hoc and error prone “LED debugging” approaches to system diagnosis.

Additional work can help LIS provide a more complete logging framework. LIS scripts can be crafted that create logs that are difficult or impossible to unambiguously parse, and the current infrastructure provides no support to warn users when this is the case. The instrumentation engine does not currently support pointer analysis or referencing into complex data structures, limiting the expressibility of LIS statements. Both these limitations can be mediated by future expansions to the LIS infrastructure using established compiler and program analysis techniques.

LIS focuses on providing exceptional logging support, but this is only one aspect of a complete monitoring and debugging framework. LIS is a passive system that creates logs of program state and does not natively support revising program state or extending program functionality. These design decisions make LIS a concise and compact language that is easy to port and amenable to optimization from external analysis, and easy for developers to pickup and use. Existing simulation methods, application-specific monitoring infrastructures, and heavier weight tools that interact with the monitored system provide specialized features beyond LIS to create complete monitoring and debugging coverage of distributed embedded systems.

We’ve found LIS to provide quick and effective logging support for a wide range of diagnostic tasks we encounter from our daily work with wireless embedded devices. The complete LIS framework and infrastructure for integration into the TinyOS build system is available online at https://projects.nesl.ucla.edu/~rshea/lis/.
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